

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/851,757	05/08/2001	Rajeev Sethia	VLSI-3512	3873
7	590 09/28/2004		EXAM	INER
PHILLIPS ELECTRONICS			LIPMAN, JACOB	
NORTH AMERICAN CORPORATION 508 White Plains Road Tarrytown, NY 70591-5190			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2134	

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
0.00	09/851,757	SETHIA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jacob Lipman	2134		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply sply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABANE.	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	<u>May 2001</u> .			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest signal = 15 or is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or is/are subject.	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume * See the attached detailed Office action for a line	ents have been received. ents have been received in Application in	ication No ceived in this National Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 892)	A) Intensions Com	. many (PTO-413)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		mary (P10-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
S. Palent and Trademark Office				

Application/Control Number: 09/851,757

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-8, drawn to a security circuit, classified in class 713, subclass
 330.
 - II. Claims 9-14, drawn to a security system, classified in class 713, subclass 200.
 - III. Claims 15-25, drawn to a security method, classified in class 713, subclass 501.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because group II includes a security circuit that does not have to be the circuit of group I. The subcombination has separate utility such as a circuit in a different system.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as

Application/Control Number: 09/851,757

Art Unit: 2134

claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus (group I) does not need to be run in a process (group III) that forwards a scanner input indicating the activation status.

- 4. Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus (group II) does not to be run in a process (group III) that varies the signal.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II or Group III, and the search required for Group II is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Chris Horgan on 9/21/2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 2134

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Lipman whose telephone number is 703-305-0716. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 - 4:00 (M-Th).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 703-308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JL

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100